# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	) Cause	No. 1:19-cv-04233
\$31,636.00 UNITED STATES CURRENCY,	)	
Defendant.	)	

## **COMPLAINT OF FORFEITURE IN REM**

The United States of America, by counsel, Josh J. Minkler, United States Attorney for the Southern District of Indiana, and Kelly Rota, Assistant United States Attorney, alleges as follows:

# **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. This is a civil action seeking forfeiture of certain property pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6) because the Defendant Property constitutes proceeds of, or is property used to facilitate, a violation of the Controlled Substances Act.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1345 (district courts have original jurisdiction of all civil actions commenced by the United States) and § 1355 (district courts have original jurisdiction of any action for forfeiture).
- 3. This Court has *in rem* jurisdiction over the Defendant Property pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1355(b) (forfeiture action can be brought in a district in which any of the acts giving rise to the forfeiture occurred), and Rule G(3)(b)(i) of the Supplemental Rules for Admiralty or Maritime Claims and Asset Forfeiture Actions (clerk must issue a warrant to arrest property in

the government's possession).

4. This Court is the appropriate venue in this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1395(a) and (b), in that the forfeiture accrued in the Southern District of Indiana.

## **DEFENDANT**

5. The Defendant is Thirty-One Thousand and Six Hundred and Thirty-Six Dollars and No Cents ("\$31,636.00") in United States Currency (the "Defendant Currency"). The Defendant Currency was taken into custody of the United States Department of Homeland Security, Customs and Border Protection ("DHS-CBP"). The Defendant Currency has been assigned Asset Identification Number 17-CBP-000547.

#### **FACTS**

- 6. On March 7, 2017, officers with the Homeland Security Investigations
  Parcel/Bulk Cash Smuggling Interdiction Task Force, comprised of the Indiana State Police
  ("ISP"), the Indianapolis Metropolitan Police Department ("IMPD"), and the Department of
  Homeland Security, Homeland Security Investigations ("DHS-HSI"), conducted a parcel / bulk
  cash smuggling interdiction operation at a parcel shipping company hub in Indianapolis.
- 7. Parcel / bulk cash smuggling interdiction operations are conducted because it has become common practice for smugglers to use shipping companies, such as Federal Express, to transport illegal controlled substances and their proceeds. Shipping companies provide illegal controlled substances smugglers with many benefits such as faster delivery with predictable dates, package tracking, and delivery confirmation. Based on information and experience, task force officers can easily identify suspicious packages with indicators, such as newly-bought boxes from the shipping company and excessive tape at the seams of the packages. Suspicious packages are typically sent via priority overnight shipping that has been paid for with cash. To

preserve anonymity, smugglers often use addresses, telephone numbers, or names that are falsified or incomplete. Packages sent to known source states for illegal controlled substances (e.g., Texas, Arizona, California) draw increased suspicion, as do those sent from individual to individual, from non-business sender to non-business receiver, and from residence to residence. Traffickers will often package in a layered manner in an attempt to avoid detection by trained narcotics detection canines. The layered packaging is usually an attempt to mask or prevent any odors from escaping the interior of the package. Unlike legitimate business items or personal gifts – which typically contain notes, letters, receipts, cards, or coupons along with the cash or monetary instruments – narcotics traffickers rarely include any type of instruction with the proceeds.

- 8. During the course of the operation, a package being shipped by parcel service with a shipping label attached reflecting a tracking number of 7858 0467 1564 ("Parcel 1564" or the "Package"), was identified as suspicious. Parcel 1564 was addressed to Veronica Alarcon Arrieta, 2475 East Slauson Avenue, Huntington Park, California 90255, TX#323-586-2800, and with a sender address of Samuel Fuentes, 1299 Myrtle Avenue, Charlotte, North Carolina 28203, TX#714-909-6533.
- 9. The package drew attention for several reasons, including that Parcel 1564 was a heavily taped box; the box had excessive packaging tape at its seams; and the cad information was missing. Parcel 1564 was marked for overnight delivery; the mailing was paid for in cash; and it was sent from an individual, non-business sender to an individual, non-business receiver. Further, Parcel 1564 was being sent to a source state (California) with no signature required upon delivery.





- 10. A certified drug detection canine, handled by an IMPD officer, inspected Parcel 1564, along with other packages. The examination resulted in the canine's positive indication for the presence of the odor of a controlled substance on Parcel 1564. The drug detection canine had been trained and certified in detection, by odor, of marijuana, cocaine, crack cocaine, heroin, and methamphetamine.
- 11. The IMPD officer applied for a search warrant to inspect the interior of Parcel 1564 based on the suspicious appearance of the package and the canine's positive alert. On March 7, 2017, a Marion County Superior Court Judge granted the search warrant upon a finding of probable cause.
- 12. Task force officers then opened the package. Inside, officers found a copious amount of bubble wrap with a small bubble-wrapped bundle nestled underneath. The bundle contained a ceramic turtle figurine bank with the sales tag still attached. Upon further inspection, officers noticed that the bank contained thirty-two (32) rolled packages wrapped in

blue painters' tape. Each package held a roll of United States Currency. The currency totaled \$31,636.00. As discussed above, multi-layered packaging methods are commonly used by smugglers in an attempt to mask the presence of the odor of a controlled substance. There was no document or correspondence indicating the purpose for which the \$31,636.00 in currency was shipped.











- 13. As there was probable cause to seize the currency for violations of federal and state controlled substance laws, the \$31,636.00 was seized and taken into custody by ISP, pending the issuance of a state turnover order.
- 14. An internet database search revealed that the shipper's address did not exist. The shipper's phone number was associated with a Victor Garcia, leading law enforcement to believe that the shipper was either using a falsified phone number or an alias. Though the recipient appeared to be an individual's name, an internet database search revealed that the address is associated with a business called Saydel, Inc. Saydel, Inc.'s website claims that they

"have been in business for over 25 years and are the largest Occult, Spiritual, New Age, and Religious supplier worldwide." *See About Us*, Saydel.com (Jul. 6, 2018), http://www.saydel.com/about.htm. Veronica Alarcon Arrieta did not appear to be associated with that address.

- 15. On June 13, 2017, the Marion Superior Court, Civil Division, issued its order transferring the Defendant Currency to the appropriate federal authority. *See* Marion County Superior Court, Civil Division, Docket 49D01-1703-MI-011894. On June 20, 2017, the Defendant Currency was transferred to DHS-HSI, which subsequently transferred the Defendant Currency to DHS-CBP.
- 16. DHS-CBP sent letters to Samuel Fuentes and Veronica Alarcon Arrieta on March 2, 2018, in order to address the matter, short of judicial forfeiture. The letters requested a response within 30 days, after which the matter would likely be referred to the United States Attorney's Office for judicial forfeiture proceedings. The letter to Samuel Fuentes was returned to DHS-CBP due to an incorrect street number. DHS-CBP confirmed that the letter to Veronica Alarcon Arrieta was delivered. To date, Veronica Alarcon Arrieta has not contacted DHS-CBP.
- 17. It is against Federal Express policy, as stated on its website, to ship cash via Federal Express. *See* FedEx Freight FXF 100 Series Rules Tariff, *available at* https://www.fedex.com/en-us/service-guide/terms/other-services.html.

#### PERTINENT STATUTES

- 18. Under 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1)-(2), it is unlawful for any person to manufacture, distribute, or dispense—or possess with intent to manufacture, distribute, or dispense—a controlled substance.
  - 19. Under 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6), all moneys furnished or intended to be furnished by

any person in exchange for a controlled substance, all proceeds traceable to such an exchange, and all moneys used or intended to be used to facilitate any violation of the Controlled Substances Act, including 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), shall be subject to forfeiture to the United States, and no property right shall exist in them.

## **CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

20. Based on the factual allegations set forth above, the Defendant Currency is "moneys . . . furnished or intended to be furnished by any person in exchange for a controlled substance," and/or "proceeds traceable to such an exchange," and/or "moneys . . . used or intended to be used to facilitate any violation of [the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.]," and are therefore subject to forfeiture to the United States of America pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 881(a)(6).

WHEREFORE, the United States prays that the Clerk of the Court issue a warrant for the arrest of the Defendant Currency pursuant to Rule G(3)(b)(i) of the Supplemental Rules for Admiralty or Maritime Claims and Asset Forfeiture Actions; that due notice be given to all parties to appear and show cause why the forfeiture should not be decreed; that judgment be entered declaring the Defendant Currency forfeited to the United States for disposition according to law; and that the United States be granted all other just and proper relief.

Respectfully submitted,

JOSH J. MINKLER United States Attorney

By: /s/ Kelly Rota

Kelly Rota
Assistant United States Attorney
Office of the United States Attorney
10 W. Market St., Suite 2100
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-3048
Telephone: (317) 226-6333

## **VERIFICATION**

I, Christopher D. Bryant, hereby verify and declare under penalty of perjury that I am a Special Agent for Homeland Security Investigations ("HSI"), that I have read the foregoing Verified Complaint in Rem and know the contents thereof, and that the matters contained in the Complaint are true to my own knowledge, except that those matters herein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe to be true.

The sources of my knowledge and information and the grounds of my belief are the official files and records of the United States, information supplied to me by other law enforcement offices, as well as my investigation of this case, together with others, as a Special Agent with HSI.

I hereby verify and declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: 10-3-19

Christopher D. Bryant Special Agent, HSI

# $_{ m JS~44~(Rev.~12/12)}$ Case 1:19-cv-04233-SEB-DLP Document 1.1 Filed 10/16/19 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 9

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of minimum une ervir u	concession. (SEE IIISTRO	110110 011112111 11102 0							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS	3				
,	f First Listed Plaintiff  XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA Address, and Telephone Numbe				(IN U.S ONDEMNA Γ OF LAND	isted Defendant PLAINTIFF CASES C .TION CASES, USE T INVOLVED.		OF	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCII	PAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box	for Plaintif,
□ 1 U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only) P'	TF DEF		and One Box fo	or Defend <b>PTF</b>	ant) <b>DEF</b>
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citize			1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Pr of Business In T		□ 4	□ 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	12 🗖	2 Incorporated and I of Business In A		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖	3 Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	l p	ANKRUPTCY	OTHER	CTATIT	TEC
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		25 Drug Related Seizure		opeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False C		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	□ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability □ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Persona	□ 69	of Property 21 USC 881	□ 423 W	ithdrawal B USC 157 PERTY RIGHTS Dyyrights	<ul> <li>□ 400 State Ro</li> <li>□ 410 Antitrus</li> <li>□ 430 Banks a</li> <li>□ 450 Comme</li> <li>□ 460 Deporta</li> <li>□ 470 Rackete</li> </ul>	eapportion st and Banki erce ation	nment ing nced and
Student Loans	□ 340 Marine	Injury Product					☐ 480 Consun	ner Credit	
(Excludes Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits  190 Other Contract  195 Contract Product Liability  196 Franchise	□ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Liability PERSONAL PROPEI  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage  385 Property Damage Product Liability	□ 72 □ 74 □ 75	LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation	□ 861 HI □ 862 BI □ 863 DI □ 864 SS	AL SECURITY (A (1395ff) ack Lung (923) (WC/DIWW (405(g)) SID Title XVI SI (405(g))	□ 490 Cable/S □ 850 Securiti	ies/Comm nge Statutory A Itural Acts nmental M m of Infor	Actions s Matters
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		1 Employee Retirement	FEDE	RAL TAX SUITS	□ 899 Admini		rocedure
<ul> <li>210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>220 Foreclosure</li> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>240 Torts to Land</li> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> <li>290 All Other Real Property</li> </ul>	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence ☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty	e	Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION	or □ 871 IR	exes (U.S. Plaintiff Defendant) S—Third Party 6 USC 7609			
	Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other  448 Education	Other:  540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement		52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions	n				
	m One Box Only) moved from	Remanded from Appellate Court	□ 4 Rein Reo <sub>l</sub>		er District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION			re filing (I	Oo not cite jurisdictional sta		diversity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b> 23, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:		complai	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCI	KET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY (	OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY									
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JU	DGE		

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
  - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
  - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

  United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

- Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- **V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

  Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

  Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Plaintiff,	) ) )	
v.	) Cause No. 1:19-cv-0423	3
	)	
\$31,636.00 UNITED STATES CURRENCY,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

## WARRANT FOR ARREST OF PROPERTY

## TO: ANY OFFICER OR EMPLOYEE OF THE UNITED STATES

WHEREAS a Complaint for Forfeiture In Rem has been filed in this Court on the 16th day of October, 2019, by Josh J. Minkler, United States Attorney for the Southern District of Indiana, against thirty-one thousand six hundred and thirty-six dollars in United States Currency ("\$31,636.00"), defendant herein, for reasons and causes set forth in the Complaint;

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED to take custody of and to deliver the defendant currency into the possession of the United States of America, to be detained in the possession of the United States or its designee until further order of this Court, and you will make return thereon not later than ten (10) days after execution of process.

Dated:	
	Laura A. Briggs, Clerk
	United States District Court
	Southern District of Indiana

Arrest Warrant to be issued by the Clerk pursuant to Rule G(3)(b)(i) of the Supplemental Rules for Admiralty or Maritime Claims and Asset Forfeiture Actions, for property in custody of the United States.